

# FEPTO XVII GENERAL ASSEMBLY

WEDNESDAY MARCH 30th 2011, NEVE SHALOM/ISRAEL

1. Opening of the General Assembly – Chair: Horatiu Nil Albini.
2. Appointment of the facilitator – Anna Chesner with Inci Doganer as helper and secretary – Celia Scanlan.
3. Appointment of 2 members to check the minutes – Melinda Myer & Susanne Kunz.
4. Establishment of the quorum – explained by Anna and confirmed by Chantal Neve-Hanquet. The quorum is 34; 7 accrediting organizations; 4 founding members; 35 training organisation; total = 46.
5. Appointment of the vote counters – Sabine Kern, Mariolina Rakstyte, Gabriele Stiegler.
6. Approval of the Jerusalem General Assembly agenda – approved with the changes proposed by Horatiu.
7. Approval of the Kovacica General Assembly minutes – approved.
8. Committees Chairs reports. Chairs are invited to present for 2 minutes, then the membership were invited to discuss with their neighbour for 5 minutes and then the questions took 15 minutes. Since all the reports had been published, only a small flavour of the report is given to the assembly.
  - 8.1 Training Committee – Zoran Duric
  - 8.2 Research Committee – Gabriela Moita
  - 8.3 Ethics Committee – Arsaluys Kayir
  - 8.4 European Affairs Committee – Celia Scanlan
  - 8.5 Annual Meeting Committee – Agnes Dudler
  - 8.6 Network Committee – Yaacov Naor
  - 8.7 FN and Website Committee – Horatiu Nil Albini
  - 8.8 Membership Committee – Dana Dragoteneau
9. Feedback from membership – more active programme of research, membership let us see the quality of the applications. European Journal proposal. How many members have been in the Training Committee in the last year. (only Zoran who has worked with the Council). Suggestion to go one committee at a time, when questionnaires / communications are sent out, they should have a confirmation of acceptance. Research proposal: one representative in each country. (Gabriela thanked this proposal). Ethics proposal: to collect the ethics of training organisations. Do we have guidelines for practitioner - yes. There is no way to manage complaints within FEPTO as a student. (Arsa has never had a complaint in her 5 years of chair, she would like to have a complaint to begin to work actively, Arsa said that more work is beeing done on codes). Network: will the doors open on the network groups (Yaacov reminded that there is a new group forming, it is maybe possible to still join. The Matrix Group has been closed, but tomorrow they will discuss this issue. Is there a budget to start planning for the next meeting. Can we always know 2 years in advance. (Agnes said that there is not currently a pot to be

handed over but this might well change since the LOC's are working much more independently than before. Membership can there be clearer guidelines for recommendation. How many are in membership and how do we check applications). believes min training standards are not clear of both quantity and quality. Dana believes we do need to give guidelines for recommendations. FN & web – links to other institutes; Do we have a publisher for the journal; Web each trainer could have a space to write something about self and areas of expertise. (we have links which include and organisational members more can be added; no initiative beyond the idea to do this, plan is to have an e-journal. Horatiu agreed it was possible to open the space on the web however he cautioned that it is important that the web is simple to use and not so full of information that it is difficult to navigate This will be further discussed in the committee. Judith suggested that she could give information about ideas they had already started; Horatiu thanked Pierre Neve for the thousands of photographs he has made for FEPTO). Maurizio was given 3 minutes to talk about IAGP. New psychodrama discussion group details to be found on FEPTO.

## Part II

10. Report of the Executive Council – Jutta Furst & Horatiu Nil Albini.
  - 10.1 Chair person's report – Clarified the reason for the three year term and talked of the positive experience of the shared presidency. Much work has been undertaken electronically.
  - 10.2 Treasurer's report. The financial report is available in each delegate pack. Chantal responded to any questions.
11. Auditors report / Approval of the accountancy – was published in FN accepted by membership.
12. Discharge of the Executive Council – accepted unanimously.
13. Budget 2011 approval. Chantal explained the budget. Question: should individual groups apply to the Chair of Network or Treasurer? Chair of Network. Judith raised the question had we not agreed to contribute to pay off his debts of Moreno at Bad Vöslau. Michael Wieser clarified that Moreno's brother paid this debt. Maurizio asked for the budget to be increased to €2000 for the network. Chantal said that this is possible. It is the task of the next council but Jutta proposed to ask the membership now what they think. Show of hands in principal. 1 abstention. Budget carried.
14. By law proposal
  - 14.1 Jorge Burmeister – brought a proposal to change the membership application requirements, moving to erase the 1st paragraph from the formal procedure of application for FEPTO membership, in order not to be compulsory to be a guest in the AM one year before the voting procedure. Following discussion. Jorge was convinced that the current system works and withdrew his proposal.
  - 14.2 The council proposed a change to the requirements for membership:

- 14.2.1 An Institute must have at least 2 permanent staff trainers responsible for the training process. Carried: 1 against, 2 abstentions
- 14.2.2. An Institute must have at least one ongoing group with at least 6 members. Carried: 1 against, 4 abstentions.

### Part III

#### 15. Voting for new members

- 15.1. Helsinki Psychodrama Institute: no questions, yes 75, abstention 0, no 0; membership carried.
  - 15.2. Ecole Francaise de Psychodrame: an issue was raised as to whether or not this was a new institute, it was agreed that the graduates from the old institute could count; yes 48; no 26; abstentions 2, spoiled papers 2; membership carried.
  - 15.3. ISI – Institute for social interaction: no questions, **yes 70 abstention 5 no 3, spoiled papers 1; membership carried.**
  - 15.4 Centro Studi Psicodrama: no questions, yes 69, no 5, abstentions 4; membership carried.
  - 15.5 Macedonian Psychodrama Association JL Moreno: no questions, yes 69, no 4, abstentions 3 ; membership carried.
  - 15.6 Amigdala: the 2 people that recommended the institute stated that their experience of the institute was that teaching was done in a very structured way which was not reflected in the presentation. Voting yes 34 no 42. The institute was invited to re-apply next year. Membership not carried.
  - 15.7 Centro International de Formation JL& Z Moreno: no questions, yes 50, no 14, abstentions 2; membership carried.
  - 15.8 Swedish Psychodrama School: no questions, yes 49, no 22, abstentions 6; membership carried.
  - 15.9 Oxford School of Psychodrama and Integrated Psychoterapy OSPIP: question raised about ethics of an associate trainer. Representatives asked if they knew about this responded yes, but we saw no need to explore the issue. Yes 44, no 27, abstentions 4; membership carried.
16. Election of the council. Outcome: Chantal Neve Hanquet, Horatiu Nil Albin, Gabriela Moita, Yaacov Naor, Leandra Perrotta, Hannes Krall, Agnes Dudler, Norbert Apter, Eduardo Verdu, Celia Scanlan.
17. Any other businesses - Agnes is developing the singing group. Follow up for the presenting institutes. New book “Sociodrama in a changing world”. Cross culture training days. Chantal said that the new board could make a decision to increase the budget for the network group. We leave this in the hands of the new council. Dana asked for feedback from the institute that was rejected, what could we have done better. She wanted people to come and ask her. Can the Task Force for Peace become a committee in it’s own right. Greetings from Zerka and Grete. Hilda said

that for the first time she saw discussions were talked about openly how can we find a procedure to deal with ethical problems, Arsa has talked about the importance of working out such difficulties in the ethics committee. Individuals making recommendations should take real care to have thorough understanding of what they are recommending. Some of these issues need to be explored.

18. Closure.